



Article

Obstetric Violence: Reproductive and Sexual Health Trajectories of Racialised Brazilian Women in Portugal

Mariana Holanda Rusu^{1,2}, Conceição Nogueira^{1,2} and Joana Bessa Topa^{2,3,4,*}

¹ Department of Psychology and Educational Sciences, University of Porto, 4200-135 Porto, Portugal; up201900493@edu.fpce.up.pt (M.H.R.); cnogueira@fpce.up.pt (C.N.)

² Center for Psychology, University of Porto, 4200-135 Porto, Portugal

³ Interdisciplinary Centre for Gender Studies, University of Lisbon, 1300-663 Lisbon, Portugal

⁴ Department of Social and Behavioral Sciences, University of Maia, 4475-690 Maia, Portugal

* Correspondence: jtopa@umaia.pt

Abstract: Obstetric violence (OV) is a form of gender-based violence (GBV) that arises from the medicalisation of childbirth and the systematic devaluation of women's bodies during pregnancy, childbirth, and the postpartum period. Recognised as a violation of sexual and reproductive rights, OV reflects historically constructed power relations and highlights the need for public authorities to provide guarantees. In Portugal, OV has historical roots and continues to be an obstacle to the realisation of constitutional principles such as human dignity. Based in an intersectional feminist epistemology and the social constructionist approach, this study was conducted using an exploratory qualitative approach. Ten racialised Brazilian women were interviewed to examine their experiences of OV during pregnancy, childbirth, and the postpartum period in the Portuguese NHS, through the lens of reproductive and sexual rights. The interviews revealed dehumanising and discriminatory treatment, highlighting the lack of respect for these women's autonomy, dignity, and rights. These experiences of OV during pregnancy, childbirth, and the postpartum period affected the participants, leading to trauma and significant negative impacts on their mental, sexual, and reproductive health. This research on OV is crucial to advancing global reproductive justice, as it challenges structural inequalities and places racialised Brazilian women at the heart of the struggle for universal human rights and equality in sexual and reproductive healthcare.



Academic Editor: Nigel Parton and Barbara Fawcett

Received: 18 November 2024

Revised: 6 February 2025

Accepted: 7 February 2025

Published: 14 February 2025

Citation: Rusu, Mariana Holanda, Conceição Nogueira, and Joana Bessa Topa. 2025. Obstetric Violence: Reproductive and Sexual Health Trajectories of Racialised Brazilian Women in Portugal. *Social Sciences* 14: 109. <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci14020109>

Copyright: © 2025 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Keywords: gender-based violence; institutional violence; obstetric violence; racialised Brazilian women; reproductive and sexual health; health equity; intersectionality; reproductive and sexual rights

1. Introduction

From the late 19th century onwards, pregnancy, childbirth, and the postpartum period ceased to be predominantly feminine events and became medical practices. This shift was due to the modernisation of approaches and the emergence of gynaecology and obstetrics, which began to medicalise and hospitalise these moments, removing them from women's control (de Oliveira Sanfelice et al. 2014). Scientific advances in obstetric medicine favoured birth in hospital settings, characterised by the adoption of various technologies and procedures aimed at making it safer. Although hospitalisation for childbirth aims to protect the health of both the pregnant woman and the baby, it often becomes an environment where violence occurs, marked by disrespect for women's fundamental rights (Bezerra et al. 2020).

This contributed to a transformation in cultural perceptions of pregnancy, childbirth, and birth. As a result, a model of care was established that often prevents women from taking an active role in childbirth, devalues popular knowledge, and disregards individuals' needs with respect to scientific knowledge. This exposes women to high rates of interventions that should only be used when necessary (Neves 2024). Consequently, there is a loss of autonomy over women's bodies and sexuality, negatively impacting their quality of life (Borges 2018).

These harmful practices are commonly referred to as obstetric violence (OV), encompassing various forms, including omission, neglect, physical and psychological violence, sexual abuse, interventions and medications not based on evidence, deprivation of basic needs such as water and food, and other situations that cause suffering to women (Bitencourt 2022). Additionally, intersectional disparities of race, nationality, and gender shape divergent outcomes in maternal health, as well as unequal access to essential healthcare services (Arcilla et al. 2025; O'Brien and Rich 2022). As these authors state, current reproductive injustices are contextualised by numerous factors, including the little-recognised history of OV. OV refers to harm inflicted during pregnancy, childbirth, and the postpartum period. This violence can be both interpersonal and structural, resulting from the actions of healthcare professionals and broader political and economic arrangements that disproportionately harm this population (Ferrão et al. 2022).

As a result, pregnant women and those giving birth are vulnerable to situations of violence that materialise through practices present in healthcare institutions. These practices are multifaceted and manifest in power relations, the manipulation of women's bodies, communication, forms of care, or as violations of rights (Bezerra et al. 2020). Therefore, intersectionality emerges as an analytical tool, a way of thinking about identity and its relationship to power, which operates as a social practice in institutional and state relations (Mosley et al. 2025; Rusu et al. 2024).

Furthermore, Crenshaw (2002, 2017) argues that it is essential to recognise that intersectional issues of gender, nationality, and race can help to understand that these women face unique challenges, often leading to an underestimation of the autonomy of racialised Brazilian women and the practices of violence. Investigating how intersectional relations influence social relations in a society marked by diversity is a means to understand and explain the complexity of this context (Bilge and Collins 2021). Everyone has the right to a respectful pregnancy, childbirth, and postpartum period; to control over their body and their biological, sexual, and psychological rights; and to the power to make decisions about what is best for themselves and their child (GANHRI 2018).

1.1. OV as a Form of Gender-Based Violence (GBV), Institutional Violence, and a Reflection on the Challenges Surrounding Women's Rights in Portugal

OV is a form of GBV that is closely tied to the medicalisation of childbirth and is shaped by societal attitudes that devalue the female reproductive body during pregnancy, childbirth, and the postpartum period (Sadler et al. 2016). Women subjected to OV are often in vulnerable conditions, which can amplify the impact and severity of this violence (Topa 2016). This infringes on human, sexual, and reproductive rights, as it constitutes a violation of women's bodies, dignity, and autonomy at crucial moments in their reproductive lives.

OV is a type of GBV as described in Article 3(d) of the Istanbul Convention, as it defines gender-based violence as that which is directed against women because of their condition, or which otherwise affects them disproportionately (Council of Europe 2014). This is a phenomenon that expresses inequality in the relationship between men and women, occurring in power relations, sexuality, self-identity, and social institutions (Duarte et al. 2015). This phenomenon is the result of inequalities expressed through domination, oppression, and cruelty, i.e., actions that result in physical or emotional harm, perpetrated

with the abuse of power, based on asymmetries between genders and roles (Santos et al. 2019). Therefore, OV as gender violence affects women because they are one of the genders that give birth, a gender that is subject to medically assisted procreation techniques; in other words, it is violence inherent to the condition of being a woman and a parent.

The term OV was defined by the Venezuelan Law (2007), ‘Organic Law on the Right of Women to a Life Free from Violence’, was the first Latin American legislation to establish and incorporate OV as a form of aggression against women. Since then, the importance of using the term “OV” has symbolised the feminist movement’s struggles to eradicate and punish violent practices during pregnancy, childbirth, and postpartum care. This form of violence encompasses all acts performed on a woman’s body without her consent, as well as procedures that are outdated in medicine but still common, especially in care provided by the Portuguese National Health Service (NHS). Examples include episiotomy, the Kristeller manoeuvre (in which the belly is pushed), enemas, the use of synthetic oxytocin, anaesthesia, forceps, fasting from food and water, frequent touch examinations, artificial rupture of the sac, and the imposition of the horizontal position on the woman (Lorthe et al. 2024; Rusu et al. 2024).

1.1.1. OV in Portugal and Its Obstacles

This practice affects many women around the world. In Portugal, existing studies point to institutionalised violence (Aires 2023; APDMGP 2015, 2020; Barata 2022b; Costa et al. 2022; Rusu et al. 2024; dos Santos Simões 2016, 2023). In this case, OV “is a practice in its institutional context which violates women’s basic human rights, disrespect and abuse, with reproductive and sexual rights being neglected” (Magalhães 2020, p. 92). The institutional violence that occurs in health centres, hospitals, and maternity wards is called OV, a term used for all varieties of violence and harm that occur during obstetric care. Many professionals involved in healthcare during pregnancy, childbirth, and the postpartum period can be responsible for OV (Bitencourt 2022).

The result of these practices is inadequate care for women, contributing significantly to the morbidity and mortality of women and children. It is estimated that 287,000 women die every year as a result of complications related to motherhood (WHO 2019). Some studies show that the feeling of not being informed and not being able to participate in decisions is related to dissatisfaction with the experience of pregnancy, childbirth, and the postpartum period (Aires 2023; Barata 2022b; Costa et al. 2022; Rusu et al. 2024; Topa 2016).

In Portugal, OV is still seen as inherent to childbirth. According to the Portuguese Association of Women Lawyers (APMJ 2016, p. 6), it is what is practised against the gender that “. . . gives birth, the gender that is subjected to medically assisted procreation techniques, in other words it is violence inherent to the condition of a woman, of parenthood” (p. 6). For the Portuguese Association for Women’s Rights in Pregnancy and Childbirth (APDMGP 2015, 2020), OV is a form of violence against women in the context of pregnancy, childbirth, and postpartum care. It could include refusal of treatment, neglect of women’s needs and pain, verbal humiliation, physical violence, invasive practices, unnecessary use of medication, forced and non-consensual medical interventions, dehumanisation, or rough treatment (APDMGP 2015, 2020).

The Directorate-General for Health (DGH) (2016) explains that the concept of violence, while viewed from different perspectives, must consider its form and origin, the experiences of the victim, and the roles of both the aggressor and society. In the document that covers interpersonal violence, it mentions that the World Health Organisation (WHO 2015) emphasises that women, children, and the elderly suffer the most physical, psychological, and sexual abuse. It also emphasises that violence is a phenomenon that is tolerated in

parts, i.e., many situations are “accepted” by society as common practice. However, there are still no DGH documents that specifically address OV.

On 9 September 2019, Law 110/2019 (Law no. 110/2019) was approved, granting rights to women in the context of sexual and reproductive health as users, which applies to public and private entities and the social sector. This law represents a Second Amendment to Law 15/2014, of 21 March (Law no. 15/2014), signalling a clearer recognition of the problem. It strengthens women’s rights as healthcare users and increases civil medical liability in situations of OV. Notably, it introduces specific rights for women related to their role as parents or potential parents that were previously absent from the legal framework. These rights include, for example, the right of the birthing woman to minimal medical interference during childbirth.

This led to Resolution 181/2021 (Law no. 181/2021), of 28 June, with recommendations to the government to eradicate practices of OV, representing the first legislative instrument in Portugal to explicitly use the term “Obstetric Violence”—a term absent from Law 110/2019 of 9 September. Although women already had support, prior to these aforementioned documents, regarding their rights in the context of obstetric care, the lack of legal recognition of the phenomenon, its conceptualisation, and the normalisation of violence against women have contributed to the invisibility of OV in the courts, which has been absent from the Portuguese jurisprudential debate.

In medicine, this term still meets with resistance, as was evident in 2021, when the (College of Gynaecology and Obstetrics of the Portuguese Medical Association 2021) issued an opinion on a proposal for Bill 9i12/XIV/2^a, the result of two surveys launched on social media by the Portuguese Association for Women’s Rights in Pregnancy and Childbirth (APDMGP), stating that the term OV is inappropriate in countries where excellent maternal and child healthcare is provided, as is the case in Portugal. For them, the term does not fit the reality of these countries (APDMGP 2015).

A year later, this same collegiate body issued an opinion in a letter on 11 September 2022, in which it questioned the editor of the journal *The Lancet*, who was responsible for publishing an article with a study that placed Portugal as the third-worst country in terms of OV (Bernardes and Reynolds 2022). The letter also states that, in Portugal and most European countries, OV, whether by action or omission, does not exist as an established practice, unlike the situation of most of the world’s pregnant women, who are exposed to disturbing maternal and perinatal mortality rates, as well as a lack of respect for human rights, particularly in terms of access to health and justice.

1.1.2. Obstetric Racism in Portugal

Unfortunately, in Portugal, this remains a public health problem, which has significantly contributed to the ongoing invisibility of women’s sexual and reproductive rights. Portugal ranks as the third-worst country in Europe for OV (Lazzerini et al. 2022), with some of the highest rates of medicalisation and intervention among European nations (Euro-Peristat 2013; Fedele and White 2018). The practice of obstetric racism has also been documented in Portugal (Costa et al. 2022), as well as in other countries in Europe (Brigidi et al. 2023; European Commission, Directorate-General for Justice and Consumers 2024; Quagliariello et al. 2024; Valdez and Deomampo 2019).

Obstetric racism (OR) manifests at the intersection of OV and medical racism, posing a direct threat to positive outcomes for obstetric patients (Davis 2019). According to the author and creator of the term, OR occurs when a patient’s race influences medical perceptions, treatments, and decisions, compromising care and endangering the patient’s health. Research by the Association for the Health of Black and Racialised Mothers in Portugal (Associação SaMaNe 2023) also indicates that more than 20% of Black and racialised

women experience OV in Portugal. The same survey reveals that 33.5% of Black and racialised women reported humiliation during pregnancy, 23.4% experienced neglect during childbirth, and 30% underwent non-consensual interventions. These disparities persist regardless of factors such as age, income, or education. Black and racialised women face a higher risk of severe maternal morbidity and potentially fatal complications, many of which are caused or worsened by pregnancy (Geller et al. 2014). It is common for these women to experience daily racialised stigma related to pregnancy, encountering stereotypes that marginalise their motherhood and result in negative consequences for both them and their children (Mehra et al. 2020).

To reinforce this theme, the results of studies with racialised women in Portugal reveal that these debates are mostly centred on White European women who have the same profile as their most prevalent audience (Barata 2022a, 2022b). The findings of two online surveys on women's experiences of childbirth illustrate a highly interventionist context, where women often feel objectified, and their preferences and demands are frequently disregarded (APDMGP 2015, 2020).

1.1.3. Abandonment, Refusal of Care, and New State Measures

In addition to the neglect of women's care during pregnancy, childbirth, and the postpartum period, Portugal has been grappling with challenges such as the closure of maternity hospitals, as well as birthing blocks and obstetric and gynaecological emergencies. This type of embarrassment is yet another type of OV and/or institutional violence, according to Bowser and Hill's (2010) classification: "abandonment and refusal of care", one of the situations that, according to the WHO, amounts to a violation of women's fundamental human rights. All women have the right to the highest attainable standard of health, including the right to dignified and respectful care throughout pregnancy and childbirth, as well as the right to be free from violence and discrimination (WHO 2014). If a pregnant woman requires emergency care, a helpline for pregnant women in the NHS 24 was established at the end of 2024. However, this compromises the clinical safety of these women, in addition to limiting their freedom to choose the hospital where they wish to receive care, which violates the current law on free access and circulation within the NHS: Despacho n° 7495/2006 (), (2nd series), dated 4 April, reinforced by the Constitution of the Portuguese Republic (CRP, Article 64).

Unfortunately, Portugal is also implementing new policies that increase barriers to healthcare access for the immigrant population. In December 2024, right-wing extremist parties, which have recently propagated hate speech against immigrants, approved Draft Law No. 364/XVI/1st (Bill no. 364/XVI/1st 2024) in the Assembly of the Republic, which restricts access to the NHS for non-resident citizens. The justification for this legislation is "health tourism", a practice whereby foreign nationals come to Portugal solely to benefit from free healthcare (Alarcão and Pintassilgo 2025). According to one of these parties, although this practice may seem beneficial at first glance, it has serious consequences for the structure and capacity of the NHS.

Data from the General Inspectorate of Health Activities (IGAS 2024) indicate that, between 2021 and September 2024, more than 140,000 non-resident citizens sought care from NHS emergency services without international insurance or agreements to cover the costs. This number has increased by more than 26% in the last two years, rising from approximately 36,000 cases in 2022 to over 45,000 in 2024. However, this situation is not the result of the current regulations, such as the Basic Health Laws 2 and 21 (Law no. 95/2019) or the NHS Statute (Decree-Law no. 52/2022), which guarantee access to the NHS for immigrants in irregular situations. One of the main issues is the difficulty of scheduling appointments at the Agency for Integration, Migration, and Asylum (AIMA 2024). In 2024,

there were at least 400,000 pending cases at the AIMA, harming many families with limited access to essential services like healthcare and education (Público 2024). This crisis reflects the AIMA's disregard for immigrants, contributing to their growing vulnerability.

These issues directly affect maternal care. Immigrant women, struggling to regularise their status and requiring prenatal care, often face discrimination and violence. Their irregular situation is often disparagingly labelled as "birth tourism" by right-wing extremist parties, which claim that many public hospitals are receiving large numbers of pregnant women without prior registration in the NHS (Alarcão and Pintassilgo 2025).

Women find themselves in a sensitive and highly vulnerable moment, requiring appropriate, specialised care and well-trained professionals for this phase. This is due not only to the pregnancy, childbirth, and postpartum care but also to the immigration process, which involves loneliness, lack of support networks, cultural barriers, and difficulties accessing information. For these women, these challenges are amplified, making the implementation of appropriate public policies even more urgent. The quality of maternal healthcare is an essential indicator of a country's development. Therefore, it is urgent to combat discriminatory policies that hinder women's access to medical care and their sexual and reproductive rights, as well as dismantling the stereotypes that fuel discrimination against marginalised women during pregnancy, childbirth, and the postpartum period. Specific and reinforced measures are needed to ensure that all women, regardless of their situation, have access to high-quality maternal healthcare, including family planning services, prenatal care, safe childbirth, and postpartum follow-up (European Parliament 2024).

Taking the above into consideration, beyond the normative and abstract levels of international legislation and regulations, it is essential to analyse how Portugal has approached reproductive rights and new reproductive technologies in practice, with a focus on protecting these rights (Alarcão et al. 2024). Public policies, the ultimate expression of the executive branch's actions, play a key role in consolidating equality in its territory through its institutions, norms, and models that guide its decisions, elaborations, implementations, evaluations, and verification of results. In terms of policies to meet the demands of sexual and reproductive health in Portugal, the internal pressure is largely due to the important women's movements, which, as Puri (2019) states, have been fundamental in demanding and driving change in all of these areas.

1.2. Sexual and Reproductive Health (SRH): A Fundamental Human Right and the State's Responsibility to Uphold Human Dignity

OV in Portugal has historical roots and is still present in society, representing an obstacle to the fulfilment of constitutional principles, especially that of human dignity. According to the WHO (2014), this form of violence occurs when health professionals take ownership of women's bodies and reproductive processes, imposing dehumanised treatment. In practice, it manifests itself through excessive medication or the pathologisation of natural processes, limiting the ability of the pregnant, parturient, or puerperal woman to make autonomous decisions about their body and sexuality. This type of aggression, whether moral or verbal, has a negative impact on women's quality of life, profoundly affecting their physical, psychological, and emotional integrity (Alvarez et al. 2024).

All women have the right to the highest attainable standard of health, including the right to dignified and respectful care throughout pregnancy and childbirth, as well as the right to be free from violence and discrimination. Abuse, mistreatment, neglect and disrespect during childbirth amount to a violation of women's fundamental human rights, as described in internationally adopted human rights standards and principles. Pregnant women have the right to be equal in dignity, to be free to seek, receive and impart information, not to suffer discrimination

and to enjoy the highest standard of physical and mental health, including sexual and reproductive health. (WHO 2014, p. 1)

“Dehumanised treatment”, a core aspect of OV, is understood from the perspective of the demeaning of women’s dignity, both morally and physically. Violence against women requires analysis within the context of gender, which encompasses the social, political, and cultural construction of masculinities and femininities. Historically, the differences between male and female created a disparity of opportunities and roles in the family and social spheres. Over time, feminist movements have been essential in winning equal rights, allowing women to occupy new social spaces. In this way, women’s roles ceased to be tied exclusively to the home, reaching new spheres of activity.

The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, adopted and proclaimed by the United Nations General Assembly (Resolution 217 A III) on 10 December 1948 (United Nations General Assembly 1948), was fundamental, as it marked the beginning of a global system for the protection of human rights. It states that all human beings are born free and equal in dignity and rights, and that they may invoke the rights and freedoms proclaimed without distinction of any kind, such as race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth, or other status. The Declaration affirms that everyone is equal before the law and entitled, without distinction, to the same legal protections. It also guarantees protection against any discrimination that violates the principles of the Declaration, as well as against any incitement to discrimination.

The Declaration guarantees a series of fundamental rights, including the right to health and well-being for oneself and one’s family. The right to health is a fundamental social right, collectively owned, and has a positive character, as it requires state intervention for its full realisation. As it is a collective right, the Constitution guarantees it to everyone, without distinction. However, when a universal right is denied to certain social groups, this is a clear violation of what is established in the Constitution, becoming a violation of the dignity of the human person.

With this in mind, it is important to emphasise that sexual and reproductive rights are fundamental components of human rights. These rights contribute to equality, dignity, and freedom, as well as to integrity, tolerance, and acceptance. They are essential for fostering a positive and healthy experience of sexuality (International Planned Parenthood Federation 2008). In this case, OV consists of the violation of women’s rights during maternal healthcare (Rusu et al. 2024). This includes all verbal or physical aggression, or even negligent acts, omissions, and delays in the provision of obstetric services, i.e., inadequate assistance to pregnant and parturient women during the performance of any related procedure.

The Organic Law on Women’s Right to a Life Free from Violence treats OV as a political, public, and social problem (Venezuela 2007). This law uses the term “obstetric violence” in a specific way, becoming an international regulatory model for the conceptualisation of violence against women. It is understood as a violation of human rights and a manifestation of historically constructed power relations, as well as a demand for the guarantee of rights by public authorities (Oliveira 2023).

In Portugal, OV is a routine practice during pregnancy, childbirth, and postpartum experiences among women, causing serious consequences such as physical, psychological, and social problems, making it a public health issue (Barata 2022a, 2022b; Rusu et al. 2024). In this context, the legal instruments produced internationally and nationally aim to ensure that women’s reproductive and fundamental rights are guaranteed (Rodrigues 2024). However, OV is far from being eliminated, mainly due to the silence of victims, their lack of knowledge of their rights, the omission of state assistance, and other deficiencies that are not prioritised (da Costa and Cheron 2017).

All of these factors are magnified when it comes to immigration. Depending on the conditions in which it occurs, it can increase or decrease people's vulnerability, posing a threat to health. Factors such as cultural differences, gender stereotypes, social traditions, language barriers, and communication patterns intensify these vulnerabilities, since they vary between cultures and often affect the reception of migrants in destination countries (Morais et al. 2021). This situation is exacerbated when immigrants face ongoing social exclusion, which creates barriers to accessing health services (Morais et al. 2021; Topa et al. 2013). Furthermore, even when they do manage to access health services, they often face stereotypes and are treated unequally (Dias et al. 2009; Padilla et al. 2012; Topa 2016).

Although health is a fundamental aspect to consider in the immigration process, many studies on population displacement focus only on labour and economic issues, neglecting health aspects and the complexity of immigrants' lives (Morais et al. 2021; Padilla et al. 2012; Topa 2016). When it comes to immigrant women and sexual/reproductive health issues, the picture becomes even more worrying. This is because, in addition to the impact of immigrant status, gender stereotypes and cultural morality influence the ways these patients are cared for in health services. Research indicates that immigrant women have higher rates of maternal morbidity and mortality, lower adherence to preventive guidelines, and lower use of health services compared to Portuguese women (Topa et al. 2013; Topa 2016).

Due to Brazil's historical context, the intersection with gender and nationality categories also raises issues related to race. These intersect to create a landscape of inequality, confirming the existence of symbolic violence produced by a type of discourse around the notions of race, sexuality, aesthetics, and morality that is perpetuated as a form of racism in the way of looking at and disqualifying the racialised body (Arcilla et al. 2025; Rusu et al. 2024). These discourses are internalised through a process of naturalisation, reinforcing racial hierarchies that have become accepted as defining historical characteristics of Portuguese society (Costa et al. 2022). Thus, unequal power relations intersected by structural racism make it possible to attempt to regulate bodies, substantially affecting racialised women as a means of adapting their sexual and reproductive functions.

In this regard, Estevanato and Martins (2022) emphasise that, when analysing situations of inequality, it is essential to consider the intersections of various power relations, such as racism, patriarchy, sexism, machismo, heteronormativity, and other forms of oppression. The dynamics of power associated with intersecting identities—such as race, nationality, and gender—shape social interactions and influence individual experiences of discrimination. In this context, Crenshaw (2002), when addressing intersectionality, highlights the necessity for human rights institutions to take responsibility for addressing the causes and consequences of racial discrimination.

Although the aim of the social and health policies proposed based on human rights is to redress social injustices and inequalities in relation to women, especially racialised women, it is known that the state is racial and class-based, i.e., structurally determined by racial classification and formed by social hierarchies constituted by race and gender relations (Akotirene 2019). The state proposes policies with the aim of reducing social inequalities, but it is these same inequalities that sustain it through structural racism that ratifies social hierarchies. Racialised women experience a higher incidence of OV, as they receive less information about their pregnancy, childbirth, and prenatal care. There is a clear disparity in the quality of care provided by health services based on race and ethnicity, and racialised women also face higher rates of unplanned pregnancies (de Assis 2018; Theophilo et al. 2018). Therefore, as Bilge and Collins (2021) state, intersectionality is multifaceted.

It is therefore essential to think about the interconnections of human rights reflected in all of the elements that make up the rights to SRH, as well as the policies and funding

needed to guarantee universal access to it. SRH concepts are relatively recent and have undergone significant transformations over time, influenced by various socio-cultural, political, and medical–scientific factors. These changes have made it possible to recognise that everyone has the right to experience their sexuality in a free, safe, and informed way (Santos et al. 2019).

The Programme of Action of the International Conference on Population and Development, Cairo, 1948, (United Nations Population Fund 2014) explains that the concept of SRH implies that people can have a satisfying and safe sex life and decide whether, when, and how often to have children. This last condition presupposes the right of every individual to be informed and to have access to family planning methods of their choice that are safe, effective, and acceptable, as well as to adequate health services that enable women to have a safe pregnancy and childbirth, offering couples the best opportunities to have a safe pregnancy and childbirth and to have healthy children. It also encompasses the right to sexual health, understood as an enhancer of life and interpersonal relationships.

In 2019, it was estimated that 6.6% of women of reproductive age in Portugal had unmet contraceptive needs. According to the charter of sexual and reproductive rights of the International Planned Parenthood Federation (2024), everyone has the right to receive information, free from discrimination, to make free and informed decisions about their health. However, according to the Portuguese Society of Contraception, Portuguese Society of Gynecology and Portuguese Society of Reproductive Medicine (2020), there are still difficulties in accessing this information faced by the Portuguese population itself, suggesting that if this is the case for the natives, it will be worse for the immigrant population.

In recent years, the non-national population in Portugal has been steadily increasing. According to the AIMA Migration and Asylum Report (AIMA 2024), Brazilian nationals represent the largest group, accounting for 35.3% of the non-national population. Studies carried out in Portugal have highlighted a high prevalence of unplanned pregnancies among immigrants (Barroso 2018; Santos et al. 2011). Additionally, data from the DGH in 2022 revealed that 28.9% of voluntary terminations of pregnancy that year involved non-national women, with Brazilian women being one of the most represented in this group. These data suggest that many immigrant women in Portugal may have unmet family planning needs.

Based on this information, we seek to reflect that the issue of SRH is currently one of the main public health concerns, because of the practice of OV among this population. When certain social groups—such as sexual minorities, including racialised Brazilian women—are denied their rights to sexual and reproductive health, they are suffering a violation of their sexual and reproductive rights, which consequently implies a clear affront to the dignity of this population. Thus, by denying these women the right to health, unjustifiable restrictions are imposed on their well-being and quality of life—both essential elements of the concept of health. As a result, these women live in conditions of inequality and face constant violations of their dignity.

In this case, all of these factors should be guaranteed by the state as a way of promoting SRH as a fundamental principle of human dignity, but they are harmed because the way in which health institutions and practices are organised in society reproduces this context, in most cases offering fragmented care with low resolution and shrouded in discrimination and prejudice (Telo and Witt 2018). In the case of racialised Brazilian women, this fact materialises in the daily need to face the limitations imposed by social invisibility, prejudice, and discrimination, which are present in different contexts of their lives, including the NHS (França and Prange de Oliveira 2021). It is therefore important to seek to analyse the fundamental social right to health from its constitutional perspective, with an emphasis on

promoting SRH for this population, considering this right as one of the factors capable of promoting the guarantee of human dignity.

1.3. The Current Research

As the most significant population in Portugal, racialised Brazilian women also make a notable contribution to national birth rates. However, research into their experiences is still underdeveloped and unsatisfactory. This exploratory qualitative study aims to fill this gap. The aim is to explore a specific unit in depth to gain insights that would not have been achievable through other approaches (Yin 2018).

Grounded in an intersectional feminist epistemology and the social constructionist approach, this research examines the experiences of OV during pregnancy, childbirth, and the postpartum period among racialised Brazilian women in the Portuguese NHS, through the lens of reproductive and sexual rights. As a form of GBV, OV raises questions about the violation of human rights and its consequences. This issue has gained increasing attention in the health sector worldwide, as it contributes to severe physical, psychological, and social problems. This study aims to address OV as both a public health problem and a human rights issue, and to analyse its impact and consequences on affected racialised Brazilian women in the NHS. Therefore, the following research question was developed to guide the entire study: How do racialised Brazilian women experience and perceive OV within the healthcare context in Portugal?

It is important to emphasise that this methodology is highly individualised and focuses on a specific segment of the population. Therefore, the findings cannot be generalised to the broader population.

2. Materials and Methods

This study used an exploratory qualitative approach, with semi-structured individual interviews as the data collection tool. The aim of this case study was to explore a specific unit in depth to gain insights that would not have been achievable through other approaches (Yin 2018), as well as to explore and gain a comprehensive understanding of the experiences and realities of the interviewees, collecting fundamental data for a detailed analysis of their beliefs, attitudes, values, and motivations concerning specific social actors and contexts. This approach was selected because it provides a more thorough understanding of the experiences, attitudes, interactions, and behaviours of racialised Brazilian women in maternal health settings, thus more effectively meeting the purposes of this study.

A structured instrument validation framework was followed to ensure that the instrument accurately reflected its intended purpose, drawing on the Validation for Qualitative Research Instruments (Vali-Quali) methodology developed by Torlig et al. (2022). This is a qualitative data validation instrument applied to semi-structured interview guides. An initial scientific review of the subject matter was conducted to establish content validity. This proposal encompassed two key dimensions: content and semantics. To validate the content of the instrument, a comprehensive scientific review of the topic was undertaken, with the aim of evaluating the extent to which the instrument accurately represented the specific content domain being measured. The validation process was structured into six integrated phases: drafting the initial script, review by experts, analysis of results, conducting a pre-test, refining and validating the script, and finalising a theoretical–empirical version of the instrument.

In this context, the validity of the instrument was assessed based on four core attributes: alignment with research objectives, adherence to theoretical constructs, clarity of language, and qualitative expectations. Experts were invited to review the semi-structured interview questions and provide feedback on the following aspects: (a) clarity of con-

tent: ensuring that the questions are formulated clearly and precisely, making them easily understandable for participants with diverse experiences; (b) clarity of wording: confirming that the language and terminology used are appropriate for the intended audience; (c) logical organisation: evaluating whether the content of each question is aligned with its assigned category, and whether the questions are presented in a logical and coherent order; (d) relevance of information: assessing whether the questions are pertinent and capable of providing the necessary data to meet the research objectives; and (e) appropriate question count: reviewing the number of questions to ensure that the interview is concise enough to maintain participant engagement without compromising the breadth of information collected.

Additionally, the reviewers were given space to offer recommendations, suggest alternative wording for unclear or inappropriate questions, and propose other refinements. After thorough analysis, feedback, and pre-testing, the instrument was deemed valid, as it effectively addressed all elements related to the conceptual framework of the construct. The development of the items was grounded in a clear conceptual definition of the variable being measured, ensuring that the semantic meaning was accurately captured. The items were assessed as relevant, aligned with the construct, and representative of the dimensions outlined in the semantic definition.

Quantifying the degree of content validity using appropriate indicators was essential to ensure the robustness of the instrument. This process also emphasised transparency, refinement of the tool, and methodological rigor, reflecting the maturity and reliability of the research. As a result, the validation reinforced the trustworthiness of the qualitative research approach.

These processes were reviewed by two reviewers with expertise in qualitative research: a full professor with a PhD in Social Psychology and an academic career since 1988, and an assistant professor with a PhD in Social Psychology and an academic career since 2011. The interview consisted of two parts—the first collecting sociodemographic data, and the second made up of seven sections: (1) migratory journey (e.g., What changes have taken place since immigrating?), exploring questions of identity, family, personal, social, and cultural aspects; (2) being a pregnant migrant in Portugal (e.g., What has it meant for you to be a pregnant woman in Portugal?); (3) pregnancy (e.g., Could you tell me about your pregnancy, especially your prenatal care? What was it like for you?); (4) childbirth (e.g., Did you feel that your fundamental rights were guaranteed during labour?); (5) postpartum (e.g., Could you tell me a little about what changed in your life before and after giving birth?); (6) OV (e.g., Do you think you have been the target of obstetric violence? If so, what elements facilitate these situations?); and (7) final considerations (e.g., How satisfied are you with maternal care (perinatal, childbirth, puerperium), taking the conditions of being a racialised Brazilian woman in Portugal into account?)

This study was then approved by the ethics committee (anonymised for peer review) (Ref.^a 2023-09-07c), as it adhered to all of the ethical principles of research, including informed consent and the protection of personal data. The voluntary nature of participation, anonymity, data processing and storage, and confidentiality were guaranteed.

The form of recruitment to take part in this study was the convenience sampling method, with ads being shared on social media platforms (e.g., Instagram and Facebook). In order to take part, the respondents had to meet the following criteria: be Brazilian women living in Portugal, be aged 18 or over, identify themselves as racialised, have given birth in the country in the last three years, and realise that they had experienced OV in the Portuguese NHS. Another way of recruiting participants was also used: the snowball sampling technique, in which the participants were encouraged to refer other women who met the inclusion criteria, enabling wider access to potential interviewees. As a result,

there was no control over variables such as the age, marital status, or sexual orientation of the participants.

The interviews were scheduled through direct contact, telephone, or email after obtaining the informed consent of those involved. Before beginning each interview, the objectives were explained, and the participants were assured of their anonymity and confidentiality. Explicit permission was obtained to record and transcribe the interviews. All interviews were conducted online via the ZOOM platform to suit the convenience of the participants. Participation was completely voluntary, and data collection took place between February and April 2024, with each interview lasting an average of 60 minutes.

The principle of saturation was achieved during the twelfth interview, at which point the data collection process was considered complete, and the sample was finalised due to reaching theoretical saturation. This indicates that the theoretical sampling was established, data were gathered, and a systematic analysis of the information was conducted (Bekele and Ago 2022). According to the authors, no new insights or information surfaced, and all of the concepts central to the theory were thoroughly developed. The relationships and connections between the concepts that underpin the theory were confirmed, leaving no need for further data collection (Bekele and Ago 2022). Every aspect of the theory was fully substantiated, eliminating any hypothetical elements. This demonstrates that the sample of twelve participants was sufficient, as the data collected were of high quality, and no new categories emerged. The boundaries of the concepts were clearly defined, and the related concepts were identified and clarified, ensuring that the understanding of the studied phenomenon remained consistent and complete.

This study involved 12 racialised Brazilian women aged between 31 and 44 ($M = 35.52$; $SD = 3.94$). They were all cisgender women, eleven of whom identified as heterosexual and one as bisexual. They had between one and three children ($M = 1.4$; $SD = 0.67$). In terms of education, eight participants had a bachelor's degree, three had a master's degree, and one had a doctorate. They had lived in Portugal for between two and seven years ($M = 3.5$; $SD = 1.61$). All of the participants reported having suffered OV in the Portuguese NHS (see Table 1).

Table 1. Sociodemographic characteristics of participants.

Participant	Age	Nationality	Ethnic/Racial Identity	Sexual Orientation	Academic Qualifications	Gender Identity	Number of Children	Time Living in Portugal (Years)	Region Where Gave Birth
P1	31	Brazilian	Black	Heterosexual	Graduated	Cis woman	1	4	Centre
P2	31	Brazilian	Racialised	Heterosexual	Master's	Cis woman	1	5	South
P3	33	Brazilian	Black	Heterosexual	Graduated	Cis woman	1	5	South
P4	33	Brazilian	Black	Heterosexual	Graduated	Cis woman	3	2	North
P5	34	Brazilian	Black	Heterosexual	Graduated	Cis woman	1	5	North
P6	34	Brazilian	Racialised	Heterosexual	Graduated	Cis woman	1	2	Centre
P7	34	Brazilian	Racialised	Heterosexual	Graduated	Cis woman	1	4	North
P8	34	Brazilian	Racialised	Heterosexual	Graduated	Cis woman	2	4	North
P9	34	Brazilian	Racialised	Heterosexual	Master's	Cis woman	1	6	North
P10	40	Brazilian	Racialised	Heterosexual	Graduated	Cis woman	2	7	North
P11	41	Brazilian	Black	Bisexual	Doctorate	Cis woman	2	7	South
P12	44	Brazilian	Racialised	Heterosexual	Master	Cis woman	1	5	North

Twelve women took part in the interview because it was realised that the principle of saturation had been reached, as no new information or themes emerged, and the data collection was considered complete. Theoretical saturation was reached, meaning that the concepts and their relationships had been fully developed and verified, and no new data were needed to advance the theory. With all of the conceptual boundaries well defined and the links between them clearly established, a sample size of ten participants was considered sufficient to capture the quality and depth of the phenomenon under study.

The interviews were analysed using thematic analysis (TA), as outlined by [Braun and Clarke \(2006, 2013\)](#). The case study sought to delve deeper into a specific unit, providing a more complete understanding than would be possible with other methods ([Yin 2018](#)). This qualitative approach is a valuable tool for identifying, analysing, and reporting patterns in the data, offering a detailed interpretation of the narratives ([Braun and Clarke 2006, 2013, 2020](#)).

The analysis went through Braun and Clarke's six stages ([Braun and Clarke 2006, 2013, 2020](#)). First, there was familiarisation with the data, with careful readings of the transcripts to identify codes and gain an in-depth view of each participant. In the second stage, initial codes were generated from the transcribed narratives, organising the main characteristics into themes and sub-themes. In the third stage, "searching for themes", a central theme was identified that encompassed the relevant data and gave rise to thematic insights. The fourth stage involved "reviewing the themes", refining them to ensure that the coded data were consistent with each theme, resulting in a thematic map. The fifth stage, "defining and naming themes", consisted of clearly defining each theme. Finally, in the sixth stage, "producing the report", we organised the findings into a coherent narrative ([Braun and Clarke 2020](#)).

3. Results

The results presented in this study confirm that unnecessary obstetric interventions are frequently carried out in various hospitals and health centres in Portugal, alongside physical, sexual, psychological, and institutional violence, lack of autonomy and rights, unequal power relationships, discrimination, barriers, and stereotyping ([Aires 2023](#); [APDMGP 2015, 2020](#); [Barata 2022b](#); [Costa et al. 2022](#); [Rusu et al. 2024](#); [dos Santos Simões 2016, 2023](#)). Combating the countless violent and traumatic acts that affect the majority of women is a driving force behind this research, and an aggravating factor is the issue of immigration—a situation for which the scientific literature is still scarce and women's issues more invisible, particularly racialised Brazilian women living in Portugal, who are faced with a lack of respect for their autonomy, dignity, and rights during pregnancy, childbirth, and the postpartum period.

Unfortunately, these women's experiences during pregnancy, childbirth, and the postpartum period were not positive. OV is a term that is gaining familiarity among women who seek access to information from the moment that they choose to reproduce. As a result, the women in this study realised that OV was present at all stages (pregnancy, childbirth, and postpartum) according to a set of definitions that describe various practices of invisibility, subjectivation, and polyphony. Knowing women's perceptions of this reality and exploring their views and opinions, as well as the levels of information that women have about OV, is a first step towards change so that it is not seen as "acceptable" in the future.

Based on the theoretical support, in this case study, a data collection instrument was developed that yielded significant results, thus providing answers to the questions that will be presented ([Yin 2018](#)). In accordance with this reality, the TA allowed us to identify a set of themes and sub-themes in the discourses of the 12 interviewees, which we entitled and set out as shown in [Figure 1](#).




Figure 1. Thematic analysis map.

3.1. Maintenance of Supremacy over Racialised Brazilian Bodies Legitimised by the State

Gender-based violence (physical, sexual, and psychological), in this case against racialised Brazilian women, is manifested through historically and culturally unequal power relations between men and women. The findings of this study reveal how this power relationship is exercised in the Portuguese NHS. The health professionals who make up the maternal healthcare context—direct representatives of the state and its institutions—play a central and determining role during this phase of life, showing that they are often the only protagonists. This power relationship turns the process of monitoring pregnancy and childbirth into something that is simply physiological and pathological, taking away women's protagonism and enabling the exercise of multiple forms of OV.

What was found was that racialised Brazilian women became victims of OV, characterised by inertia, passivity, and silence—in other words, by annulment. This has had physical, sexual, psychological, and social consequences. These women see their plans, dreams, and expectations for each phase of this event captured by the medical model. This makes them believe that they are incapable of facing this phase of their lives with health and joy, and they start handing over the work to others, reinforcing a false idea that this is an honourable and socially acceptable way out.

Whose Role Is It?

The events that take place through these women's bodies during pregnancy, childbirth, and the postpartum period demand that the centrality and focus be on them. This requires a direct and effective dialogue between health professionals, where questions, doubts, and plans regarding their needs during these phases can be developed. However, during contact with the NHS, the results were the opposite of what might have been expected. One form of violence highlighted by the participants was communication with the professionals present during pregnancy, childbirth, and the postpartum period, marked by a lack of information before procedures being carried out, verbal aggression, and a rude tone of voice, revealing indifference and inattention, as the discourse shows:

"This birth plan thing, it was more something I did on my own with the help of the internet because my doctor, she didn't encourage much". (P1)

"Everything was summarily disregarded; it was as if you were exaggerating". (P6)

“She came in telling me she was going to do the test. I said no and she still did it. She was violent”. (P10)

It is clear from the participants’ discourse that the principle of autonomy and self-determination—that the individual can decide on their personal choices and should be treated with respect for their ability to make decisions—was ignored by health professionals. Women should have the right to decide on matters relating to their bodies and their lives. Any medical procedure should be authorised by the patient.

As a result, scientific interest in understanding the female body is growing, mainly due to the fundamental component of the consolidation of the medicalisation of women. The accounts confirm the absence of the woman, who was previously seen as the central element, dominant over her body and empowered by others, but now being excluded from this process because she has been judged as lacking sufficient scientific knowledge to master the practice.

“And then they gave me oxytocin, they gave me a pill now, intravaginally”. (P6)

“I heard the doctor telling the nurses at various times that I was weak”. (P7)

“A person full of pain, trapped in wires, full of drugs. I couldn’t give birth on my own, pushing without contractions, in a position I didn’t want. . .” (P10)

“The doctor can’t do everything, but in the public sphere we are more susceptible to medical power”. (P10)

These statements demonstrate how racialised Brazilian women are also treated within the Portuguese NHS, and that this is not an exclusive phenomenon for national women. The idea that these women need interventions, orders, and impositions promotes a clear exclusion of these women during an event that takes place through their bodies, which are captured so that they no longer belong to the sphere of the feminine but are understood as a medical practice.

Aware of their situation, these women understand the violations that they have suffered and suffer from the silencing of their desires and expectations. Throughout the event, these women knew that they were capable of being protagonists from pregnancy to postpartum because, as inhabitants of these bodies, they know and recognise them as the owners of this system that makes them who they are. The event is theirs, in them, and for them. This is why they announce their ability to take the lead, as can be seen below:

“(. . .) to be received with affection, to be the protagonist of my labour, to have control, right? Control but being able to decide what was best for us. To really be the protagonist”. (P6)

Unfortunately, the lack of protagonism of racialised Brazilian women in the NHS, from pregnancy to the postpartum period, has promoted OV, which influences the processes of this event, generating trauma and suffering. Annulling this protagonism is a way of infringing on sexual and reproductive rights, as can be seen in the testimonies. This weakens women’s autonomy and their leading role in the decision-making process. It also leads to poor quality and humanisation of maternal care.

3.2. Women’s SRH in Portugal: OV as GBV

OV is an integral part of a society that violates women because of their gender identity and female status. It is the result of male domination that gives rise to machismo, both institutional and individual, and which affects women’s various relationships with their bodies, their position in society, and their dignity. SRH is a state of physical, mental, and social well-being, but unfortunately, in the findings of this study, gender-based violence

based on inhumane treatment and discrimination was unanimous among the interviewees, revealing violations in SRH care that ended up injuring the dignity of these women.

3.2.1. Dehumanised and Discriminatory Care: Violation of the Sexual and Reproductive Rights of Racialised Brazilian Women

Dehumanised care starts with disrespect, which was found to be common in this study. The OV suffered by these women proves a constant violation of the dignity of the human person. These women were victims of disrespect and abuse—inhuman acts that end up generating physical, sexual, and psychological consequences. This hurts their integrity, as a result of the state's neglect of women's health. As can be seen, these women have undergone procedures that affected their physical bodies.

"(. . .) Take touch. So I said: no, I don't want you to touch me anymore. But you have to". (P4)

"The doctor came to do a touch test and I said: no, no, I'm in pain, you're mistreating me". (P5)

"(. . .) they did the episiotomy, at no point did they ask me if I authorised it or not. . . I had my scar, the three cuts I had suffered (. . .)". (P6)

"He said: I'm sorry, but I'm going to have to do one more nasty thing, I'm going to have to remove your placenta. He stuck his hand inside my vagina, inside my uterus, to pull out my placenta. And in the process, I said: it's hurting, it's hurting and he said: but it has to be like this". (P9)

The results also reveal sexual violence generated by the constraints imposed by the health professionals who accompanied these women during maternal healthcare. Touch examinations, episiotomies, and interventions could cause injuries and trauma. Physical injuries end up affecting these women's sex lives. This situation exposes the deep fragility of these women.

"I was feeling my body locking up, you know? Locking up because it's a strange person touching me (. . .)". (P4)

"(. . .) to this day I feel the consequences of my sex life not returning to normal, because I no longer have the same lubrication". (P6)

This violation, characterised by frequent touching, episiotomy, and exposure of the body, among other situations, is sexual OV. The data reveal that these women experienced such violence, which is defined as any action imposed on a woman that violates her intimacy and undermines her sense of sexual and reproductive integrity, regardless of whether it directly affects/involves her sexual organs or other intimate parts of her body.

In addition, these women also reported experiencing the impacts of this violence, including psychological damage, which can be long-lasting. The psychological aspect of the abuse is explained in cases of lies, humiliation, rudeness, blackmail, offences, omission of information, language that is not very accessible, disrespect, or disregard for their cultural standards.

"Because the whole time the guy was threatening me with a caesarean section (. . .)". (P4)

"I started screaming, a nurse came to restrain me and held my arm". (P5)

"If you can't handle a touch, do you think you can handle a normal birth?". (P8)

"She bombarded me into doing an induction". (P10)

Yet another form of violation of sexual and reproductive rights emerges in this study, and the existence of xenophobia and racism in these women's statements is undeniable,

promoting exclusion and violence. In a historical continuum, the prejudice and stereotypes exposed only reinforce the ideas that the NHS, through its professionals, has about the bodies of racialised Brazilian women. With negative and disapproving comments about their customs and ways of leading their lives, these women were inappropriately named, causing them to face yet another discriminatory action against them.

“The treatment and access were very different between Portuguese and non-Portuguese women”. (P2)

“There are a lot of obese pregnant Brazilians because they eat a lot of carbohydrates, you eat rice with potatoes mixed in (...)”. (P3)

“Here comes Dr X with another Brazilian here (...)”. (P7)

The same happened with the racism revealed in the testimonies, which ended up being reflected in the development of this event, referring to the eugenicist idea, with the defence that racialised bodies are structurally different. As can be seen, these actions ended up promoting greater vulnerability, making their access to health more precarious. It is possible to perceive the representation of passivity that leads to the neglect that dehumanises these women.

Skin colour, social class, a peripheral place of residence, years of schooling, and access to healthcare all contribute directly to the occurrence of OV, with women living on the periphery, who are black, who have low levels of schooling, and who are going through a process of abortion being the most vulnerable to the occurrence of OV. There is also evidence of women’s lack of preparation and information about OV.

“It’s impossible to deal with these savage people”. (P11)

“You know you’re not going to have your daughter, Brazilians, mixed race, all the women there have bad uteruses, because your’ mixed race”. (P11)

This proves that these women have their bodies disciplined by the state differently than White European women. They receive different treatment based on nationality and race. Although equality is guaranteed by the Constitution, the rupture through intersectional action between medical racism and institutional violence interferes in the health and illness processes of this population. It promotes a change through the omission of institutional powers, such as those of the state, in the face of comments and attitudes like these during pregnancy, childbirth, and the postpartum period.

With this practice, it is possible to infer that the effects of the construction of the social imaginary created around the racialised population are reproduced in the health services. This only reinforces the erasure of rights, where the absence of the promotion of person-centred healthcare free from gender, race, and nationality bias is evident and naturalised, anchored in sexist, racist, and xenophobic evidence.

3.2.2. Where Is the Dignity of These Women?

Many extremely important rights are affected by the OV suffered by these women. The abuses suffered by the women interviewed show that they were deprived of their rights. As can be seen from the accounts, they were not informed about their rights with the NHS and were faced with reckless attitudes where doctors insisted on using procedures that were not recommended, along with disregard for their wishes and the traumas that injured these women’s dignity.

“I didn’t realise that pregnant women are entitled to a fee waiver. I’ve always paid, a letter has always arrived at my house, and I’ve always paid for everyone”. (P1)

“I have 11 women to give birth to, right? Unfortunately, we can’t wait for each one’s time (. . .) It’s going to take a while. If you don’t take this induction, you’ll be here all day”. (P3)

“The assistant started reading my birth plan with irony (. . .)”. (P4)

“I told my obstetrician everything that happened, but she just brushed it off”. (P8)

“I didn’t have the right to choose the maternity hospital”. (P9)

Violence through the violation of rights was also present in the reports, in relation to the ban on the participation of a companion during labour. The right to have a companion of one’s choice has been guaranteed by Law No. 11.108 since 2005 and requires hospitals and maternity hospitals, whether public or private, to allow a companion of the pregnant woman’s choice to support her during labour, delivery, and the immediate postpartum period. The following accounts reflect the institutions’ disregard for this right:

“When we arrived at the hospital, the father was barred at the door”. (P2)

“My husband wasn’t with me the whole time”. (P8)

“I only learnt that my husband could stay with me hours later through another pregnant woman, I wasn’t told anything”. (P12)

Dignity is a spiritual and moral value inherent to the person; it is manifested uniquely in the conscious and responsible self-determination of one’s own life; and it brings with it a claim to respect from other people. But unfortunately, the care offered to these women during pregnancy, childbirth, and the postpartum period in the Portuguese NHS has not been able to fulfil the minimum of what is fundamental and necessary to promote the dignity of this population. As can be seen from the accounts, the racialised Brazilian women in this study were faced with limitations on the exercise of their fundamental rights, affecting the esteem that all people deserve as human beings.

There was a clear absence of the right to information, which must be based on informed consent; the right to an appropriate and adequate cure; and the right not to suffer needlessly, in the proportionality of the means to be used, in the differentiation between ineffective and futile therapy—in other words, in the use of rational and advantageous therapy, which does not lead to violent and undignified therapy. Faced with this question, these women expressed their feelings as follows:

“(. . .) I felt violated from start to finish”. (P6)

“It was difficult, I couldn’t talk about it, because I’d burst into tears. It was terrible”. (P8)

“I was a piece of meat”. (P10)

These women’s rights were not guaranteed, showing that they were treated unequally through discrimination, social injustice, and structural inequalities, and that their dignity was compromised. All of this prevented the full development of these women, limited their opportunities, and violated their fundamental rights, as the study participants realised:

“(. . .) I don’t think so because, for me, my right is to be treated well”. (P1)

“My rights were completely violated there, I was clearly violated”. (P6)

“My rights weren’t guaranteed. They violated me”. (P10)

“My rights have not been guaranteed. To be guaranteed, we need to be informed about the procedures”. (P12)

This shows the configuration of lack of training, omission of or inability to provide appropriate treatment in the medical service, or even a lack of ability to make decisions to

ensure the health and well-being of these women. When these rights are denied and/or limited, women's dignity is violated.

4. Discussion

This research demonstrates significant relevance for studies on the intersectionality of gender, race, and nationality in relation to OV as a form of GBV and its impact on SRH for the immigrant population, not only in Portugal but across Europe. As reported in the Case Studies on Obstetric Violence: Experience, Analysis, and Responses by the [European Commission, Directorate-General for Justice and Consumers \(2024\)](#), there is growing public awareness and concern about this issue, with an established imperative for quality care during pregnancy, childbirth, and postpartum care, along with the understanding of OV as a violation of human rights, a form of GBV, and institutional violence.

To understand how women become victims of OV, it is essential to regain control over the biological event of pregnancy and childbirth, which, since the late 19th century, has been medicalised and subordinated to male-dominated practices ([O'Brien and Rich 2022](#)). In this process, pregnancy came to be seen as a risk and pathology, normalising medical interventions ([Bezerra et al. 2020](#); [de Oliveira Sanfelice et al. 2014](#)). The technocratic model mechanises the female body, turning it into an object of control and social surveillance, reaffirming its historical objectification ([Barata 2022b](#); [Rusu et al. 2024](#)).

In this context, medical knowledge is imposed as the absolute truth, disregarding women's wishes and autonomy during childbirth. Institutional violence obscures behaviours that constitute OV, allowing professionals to adopt practices that are not based on evidence, causing suffering ([Ferrão et al. 2022](#); [Lorthe et al. 2024](#); [Santos et al. 2011](#)). Racialised Brazilian women, within a society marked by inequalities in gender, race, and nationality, are even more vulnerable to medical supremacy and technocracy ([Quagliariello et al. 2024](#)). The discourse of control over their bodies reinforces the idea that they are incapable of giving birth naturally, without medical intervention ([Neves 2024](#); [Sadler et al. 2016](#)). In the hospital environment, these women lose their autonomy and self-determination and are encouraged to believe that they are fragile ([Telo and Witt 2018](#)).

Furthermore, violence manifests through power relations, manipulation of the female body, inadequate communication, and rights violations within healthcare institutions ([Bezerra et al. 2020](#)). Thus, the care provided during maternity reveals how the symbolic construction of the female body is used to justify interventions, perpetuating inequalities and denying women a positive and respectful experience ([Brigidi et al. 2023](#)).

OV reflects the dehumanisation of care for women during pregnancy, childbirth, and the postpartum period, disqualifying their knowledge and ignoring their individual needs. This phenomenon mainly affects racialised Brazilian women, who experience traumatic and painful experiences with significant impacts on their mental, sexual, and reproductive health, violating their human rights ([Alvarez et al. 2024](#); [Santos et al. 2019](#)). The lack of respect for women's autonomy and dignity is evident, with practices that limit their protagonism in this process. Healthcare professionals, instead of prioritising their needs and rights, perpetuate power relations and neglect ([Bitencourt 2022](#)). Thus, maternal care must be more than technical, incorporating social, cultural, and power-related elements in relationships, as demonstrated by [Rusu et al. \(2024\)](#).

For racialised Brazilian women, OV is a form of GBV that is intersected by social markers such as racism, xenophobia, and sexism ([Mosley et al. 2025](#); [Estevanato and Martins 2022](#)). These women frequently report neglect, mistreatment, and the expectation of disrespect before receiving care ([Santos et al. 2019](#); [Theophilo et al. 2018](#)). The naturalisation of violence and the lack of agency among women reflect the persistence of a system that combines human rights abuses and structural inequalities ([de Assis 2018](#)).

Intersectional discrimination disproportionately affects racialised women, as can be observed in contexts where economic, social, and cultural forces structure systems of subordination. According to [Crenshaw \(2002, 2017\)](#), understanding this discrimination requires attention to the dimensions of race, gender, and nationality, which play central roles in perpetuating these inequalities. Authors such as [Bilge and Collins \(2021\)](#) argue that intersectionality is crucial for analysing issues of reproductive justice and health, highlighting how these markers influence access to information and healthcare services.

OV thus becomes a broad term encompassing inhumane and scientifically unfounded practices carried out during pregnancy, childbirth, and the postpartum period. These include denial of care, lack of clear information, and violation of fundamental rights, such as the right to have a companion ([Alvarez et al. 2024](#)). Such practices are manifestations of institutionalised violence that reflect inequalities in gender, race, and nationality, placing racialised women in situations of greater vulnerability ([Arcilla et al. 2025](#); [Magalhães 2020](#)).

Racialised Brazilian women have historically been animalised and dehumanised by colonial practices that position them outside the ideals of femininity associated with White European women ([Costa et al. 2022](#)). The modern colonial gender system racialises and hierarchises these women, contributing to their devaluation and disqualification. This logic, as described by [Akotirene \(2019\)](#), perpetuates abuse and dehumanisation of their bodies, revealing a system that excludes complex subjectivities.

In addition to explicit violence, there is the impact of the social stigma associated with these women, which hinders access to healthcare and amplifies inequalities ([Morais et al. 2021](#); [Padilla et al. 2012](#)). This context fuels OR, which, according to [Davis \(2019\)](#), arises when the patient's race influences diagnoses, treatments, and medical decisions, resulting in harm. The author describes racism as a practice sanctioned by institutions and the state, making certain groups vulnerable to premature deaths.

OR is a serious threat to the reproductive and obstetric health of Black and racialised women. Discriminatory comments made by healthcare professionals reveal the dehumanisation of "other" bodies and perpetuate violent practices. The intersectional perspective, as outlined by [Bilge and Collins \(2021\)](#), is essential for deconstructing these practices and creating effective interventions that ensure the sexual and reproductive rights of racialised women.

Despite advances in the consolidation of reproductive rights in international legal instruments, gender inequality remains deeply ingrained in society ([Alarcão and Pintassilgo 2025](#); [Alarcão et al. 2024](#)). While these instruments have contributed to female emancipation, many challenges persist, including the need for adequate protection of reproductive rights in the context of technological advances, such as assisted reproductive technologies, which still reflect gender and racial inequalities ([Rodrigues 2024](#)).

In this context, analysing OV and OR from an intersectional perspective is fundamental. This approach allows for an understanding of how gender, race, and nationality intertwine to perpetuate inequalities and develop mechanisms that promote structural change ([Crenshaw 2002, 2017](#)). Building an inclusive and equitable healthcare system requires the paradigms that sustain discriminatory practices to be deconstructed, allowing all women access to dignified and respectful care, regardless of their origins or social markers ([Rusu et al. 2024](#)). It would be crucial to propose strategies to combat racism and xenophobia during obstetric care, which require a multifaceted approach involving public policies, changes in the healthcare system, and sensitisation of both healthcare professionals and society at large ([Davis 2019](#); [Geller et al. 2014](#); [Mehra et al. 2020](#)).

Thus, the feminist movement, advocating for the integrity of human rights, has contributed to the understanding of sexual and reproductive rights as encompassing not only a political and civil perspective but also social and economic perspectives that affect

the holders of these rights differently, particularly considering the economic, cultural, and social disparities among different groups of women worldwide (Puri 2019). This should also be reinforced with respect to the associations and entities in Portugal. Through their persistent efforts, in both advocating for the recognition of the term OV in the country and engaging in dialogues, studies, and awareness-raising among the population to combat these practices, they demonstrate a discursive coalition in search of consistent and coherent guarantees on the issue (APDMGP 2015, 2020; Barata 2022a, 2022b; Associação SaMaNe 2023; dos Santos Simões 2016, 2023).

This reflects and confirms the lack of knowledge about SRH, cultural beliefs, and socio-economic status, along with inadequate access to services in this area—factors that put women at greater risk of vulnerability (Santos et al. 2011; Telo and Witt 2018). These factors often distance them from healthcare, sometimes linked to illegal situations, depriving them of their autonomy in making informed decisions about their bodies (Dias et al. 2009). The WHO (2014) further observes that undocumented migrants are particularly vulnerable, as their rights to access sexual health services are often violated and, due to fear of deportation or existing language barriers, they often do not access healthcare services. The WHO also asserts that human rights, including the right to health, must be universal and not limited to the citizens of a country, covering all those who live in it, regardless of their legal status.

Considering all of these issues, it remains crucial in Portugal to deconstruct each of the narratives that continuously and persistently define racialised Brazilian women as occupying inferior and exclusionary roles in contemporary society (Topa et al. 2013; Topa 2016). This analysis should clarify the meaning of each of these discourses within the political and social context and facilitate a gender analysis that allows for the development of other conceptions based on more equitable relationships (da Costa and Cheron 2017).

To address these challenges, it is crucial to implement public policies that ensure access to essential services, enact laws protecting minority rights, and establish support programmes for vulnerable groups (Morais et al. 2021; Padilla et al. 2012). This should include developing targeted maternal health programmes for immigrants, eliminating legal and administrative barriers that restrict access to care, and allocating adequate resources to provide high-quality services (Morais et al. 2021; Topa 2016; Rusu et al. 2024).

However, the ongoing crisis in the Portuguese NHS and the recent passing of Bill No. 364/XVI/1^a, influenced by right-wing extremist parties, represent a significant regression in healthcare access for migrants. Under the guise of combating "health tourism", this measure overlooks evidence indicating that the NHS crisis stems from poor management and systemic deficiencies rather than demand from non-residents. According to IGAS (2024), the rise in the number of healthcare users does not justify claims of overburdening by migrants, instead highlighting administrative inefficiencies.

These restrictions disproportionately affect pregnant immigrant women, who face systemic barriers, discrimination, and stereotyping (Arcilla et al. 2025; Rusu et al. 2024). The lack of resources in obstetric care, coupled with staff shortages and emergency unit closures, violates the fundamental right to universal healthcare enshrined in the Portuguese Constitution (CRP, Article 64). To address these structural inequalities, inclusive policies must be implemented to ensure equitable access to healthcare, combat discrimination, and uphold sexual and reproductive rights (Alarcão and Pintassilgo 2025).

To achieve this goal, the WHO (2019) states that the effective planning and implementation necessary to achieve universal access to sexual and reproductive health services depend on a well-structured healthcare system. This includes establishing robust governance processes and partnerships, especially involving civil society, including women's groups and other vulnerable and marginalised groups. The creation of political and legislative frame-

works can thus be enabled, with multisectoral engagement and coordinated action in other constructive elements, such as integrated healthcare service delivery (WHO 2019).

Although the prioritisation of actions to strengthen health systems for universal health coverage may vary according to the contexts and needs of each country, it should be supported by a commitment to a rights-based approach and the principle of non-discrimination (da Costa and Cheron 2017; GANHRI 2018). This commitment to a rights-based approach is particularly relevant for the implementation of sexual and reproductive health services, where unmet needs may persist even in well-structured healthcare systems.

Therefore, discussing SRH for racialised Brazilian women highlights its significant global relevance because it is aligned with the fight for reproductive justice, addressing the multiple forms of oppression that affect women from different ethnic and cultural backgrounds in various countries. Through this study, we can understand the intersections of race, gender, and nationality, and how these layers of discrimination impact the reproductive health and autonomy of these women.

5. Conclusions

Despite progress, the fight for SRH in Portugal still faces significant challenges. Despite being a fundamental part of human rights and based on principles such as freedom, equality, privacy, autonomy, integrity and dignity, the taboo surrounding these rights persists. To ensure that all people develop healthy and safe sexuality and reproduction, these rights must be recognised, respected, promoted, and defended by society. This struggle cannot be dissociated from an intersectional perspective, especially in the context of racialised Brazilian women, guaranteeing safe, consensual, and informed exploration of sexuality and reproductive desire, if present.

Thus, this study has significant global relevance, as it addresses issues of gender-, race-, and nationality-based inequalities in maternal care and sexual and reproductive rights, which transcend borders and affect women in various social and geographical contexts. The implications for reproductive justice are broad and involve the recognition that reproductive rights are fundamental to women's autonomy and dignity. OR, which has historically affected racialised women, contributes to global disparities in maternal and infant mortality rates, and it is also an obstacle to equal access to quality healthcare.

It is essential to develop viable policy recommendations to combat OV and discrimination in maternal care, with (1) implementation of anti-discrimination legislation; (2) establishment of specific laws; (3) development of culturally sensitive care protocols—e.g., ongoing and specific training, inclusion of diversity in care practices; (4) ensuring universal access to quality obstetric care; (5) support and expansion of humanised and autonomous birth practices; (6) creation of mechanisms for reporting and follow-up; (7) psychosocial and legal support; and (8) encouraging immigrant community participation in the formulation of health policies, as well as anti-racist training and education for healthcare professionals.

Society is moving towards improvements, but there is still a need for significant changes regarding the humanisation of maternal care during pregnancy, childbirth, and the postpartum period. Women's protagonism over their bodies, rights, and the unique moment of childbirth needs to be embraced more effectively by health professionals, with genuine welcome. Women's active participation in decision-making processes is crucial, as a lack of autonomy can exacerbate suffering, emphasising the importance of respectful care that is centred on women's needs.

OV remains a serious problem that demands awareness and appropriate legal sanctions. This practice represents a violation of human rights, exacerbating women's vulnerability. The responsibility for preventing and combating this violence must be shared

between health professionals and the state to guarantee the protection of the rights of women and women in labour.

Unfortunately, there is a lack of policies and strategies aimed at improving reproductive health and promoting racial equality, as inequalities are still evident, especially among racialised women, who continue to suffer inequities. To tackle these issues, it is essential to continuously train health professionals and nursing staff, who need to keep up to date with the rights of pregnant and parturient women, as well as to ensure ethical and appropriate conditions for providing care during pregnancy, childbirth, and the postpartum period.

The implementation of an obstetric care model based on humanisation aims to prioritise the well-being of women and babies, taking physiological, psychological, emotional, and socio-cultural aspects into account. This model must be underpinned by the technical training of professionals and respect for women's protagonism during this event. The need to strengthen maternal healthcare based on humanisation is evident. Through women's experiences and perceptions, it is possible to develop more humanised and effective practices.

In addition, the social changes that have taken place in recent years, together with economic difficulties and the need to contain costs in the NHS, present additional challenges. These must be addressed so as not to jeopardise the advances in health that have already been made. Current laws and policies play a fundamental role in protecting the most vulnerable individuals, guaranteeing the right to information and equitable access to quality health services for all citizens.

In view of the above, the evolution of SRH policies will only be possible if there is a fundamental belief in society that SRH is an integral part of health and well-being—that it is a fundamental right of all individuals throughout their lives and is essential for autonomy and social development. Therefore, this study reinforces the importance of policies and practices that ensure humanisation, respect, and dignity in obstetric care, contributing to the implementation of a health system that truly welcomes and protects women and their sexual and reproductive needs.

In summary, research and awareness of OV are crucial to advancing global reproductive justice, as they challenge structural inequalities and place racialised women at the heart of the struggle for universal human rights and equality in sexual and reproductive healthcare. It is also essential to ensure that all women, regardless of their race and/or nationality, have access to respectful and quality healthcare. By addressing these issues globally, we can contribute to a more just and inclusive society for all women.

6. Limitations and Future Research

This study has some limitations that need to be considered. The limited number of participants, considering variables such as gender, nationality, age group, educational level, location, citizenship, and migration status, did not allow us to capture the full diversity of racialised Brazilian women's experiences in the Portuguese NHS. The socio-economic cut-off is another factor that limits us from perceiving more vulnerabilities and discrimination. A larger sample would be necessary for a more comprehensive and in-depth understanding of the processes, dynamics, and forms of obstetric violence. The difficulty of accessing women who identified as victims of OV was another challenge, since there is a certain difficulty, emotional fragility, and fear inherent in testifying on this subject. It is important to reflect an individualised approach that prevents generalisation of the results. The subject's sensitive nature may explain the resistance to participating in this study.

Future research could pursue various directions to expand the understanding and address OV by (1) conducting broader studies to further investigate the interplay between class, nationality, and other discrimination factors; (2) investigating the prevalence of obstetric violence in diverse contexts; (3) carrying out longitudinal analyses of the event to better

and specifically understand the impacts of OV; (4) including OV in prevention policies; (5) exploring approaches to promoting SRH among healthcare professionals; (6) implementing policies to assess institutional responses to complaints in different healthcare settings; (7) evaluating the role and effectiveness of support networks; (8) investigating the level of awareness of obstetric violence among healthcare professionals; (9) developing training programmes aimed at eradicating this form of violence against women in healthcare settings; and (10) drawing up plans to promote SHR for this population.

Author Contributions: Conceptualisation, M.H.R., C.N. and J.B.T.; methodology, M.H.R. and J.B.T.; investigation, M.H.R., formal analysis, M.H.R. and J.B.T.; writing—original draft preparation, M.H.R.; writing—review and editing, J.B.T., supervision, C.N. and J.B.T. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted following the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Psychology and Education Sciences of the University of Porto (Ref^a 2023-09-07c, 5 January 2024).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author due to privacy reasons.

Acknowledgments: We are grateful to all the women who have consented and shared their stories.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- Agência para a Integração, Migrações e Asilo. 2024. *Relatório de Migrações e Asilo 2023*; Lisboa: Agência para a Integração, Migrações e Asilo. Available online: <https://aima.gov.pt/documents/rma-2023.pdf> (accessed on 10 October 2024).
- Aires, Elisa. 2023. *Violência Obstétrica: Estudo Qualitativo Sobre Conceções e Significados no Contexto Português*. Master's thesis, Universidade Lusófona do Porto, Porto, Portugal.
- Akotirene, Carla. 2019. *Interseccionalidade: Feminismos Plurais*. São Paulo: Polén.
- Alarcão, Violeta, and Sónia Pintassilgo. 2025. Acesso à Saúde para Migrantes: Justiça Social e Reprodutiva em Portugal. *Nascer em Portugal (blog)*. Available online: https://nascer.pt/2025/01/07/acesso-a-saude-para-migrantes-justica-social-e-reprodutiva-em-portugal/#_ftn1 (accessed on 20 January 2025).
- Alarcão, Violeta, Sónia Pintassilgo, and Fernando Luís Machado. 2024. *Saúde Sexual e Reprodutiva num Portugal Multicultural: Olhares Pluridisciplinares*. Porto: Edições Afrontamento.
- Alvarez, Thais Simighini, Álvaro Luiz Travassos de Azevedo Gonzaga, and Gisele Pereira Aguiar. 2024. A Violência Obstétrica, uma Questão de Género e Raça. *Revista Em Favor de Igualdade Racial* 7: 192–204. Available online: <https://periodicos.ufac.br/index.php/RFIR/article/view/6827> (accessed on 10 September 2024). [CrossRef]
- Arcilla, Joseph T., Anastasia Nanou, Samaa Hamed, and Fadwa Osman. 2025. Racialized Migrant Women's Discrimination in Maternal Care: A Scoping Review. *International Journal for Equity in Health* 24: 16. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Associação Portuguesa de Mulheres Juristas—APMJ. 2016. *A Violência Obstétrica: A Violência Institucionalizada Contra o Género*. Lisbon: Simões, V. A. S.
- Associação Portuguesa pelos Direitos da Mulher na Gravidez e Parto. 2015. *Experiências de Parto em Portugal: Inquérito às Mulheres Sobre as Suas Experiências de Parto*. Lisbon: Associação Portuguesa pelos Direitos da Mulher na Gravidez e Parto. Available online: http://www.associacaogravidezparto.pt/wp-content/uploads/2016/08/Experi%C3%Aancias_Partos_Portugal_2012-2015.pdf (accessed on 12 October 2024).
- Associação Portuguesa pelos Direitos da Mulher na Gravidez e Parto. 2020. *Inquérito Experiências de Parto em Portugal*, 2nd ed. Lisbon: Associação Portuguesa pelos Direitos da Mulher na Gravidez e Parto.
- Associação SaMaNe. 2023. *Experiências de Gravidez, Parto e Pós-Parto de Mulheres Negras e Afrodescendentes em Portugal—1º Relatório Técnico*. Lisboa: Associação SaMaNe.
- Barata, Catarina. 2022a. Body Broken in Half: Tackling an Afro-Brazilian Migrant's Experience of Obstetric Violence and Racism in Portugal Through Art Making. *Revista de Antropologia e Investigação Social (Con)Textos* 10: 65–84.

- Barata, Catarina. 2022b. Mix of Races, Bad Uterus: Obstetric Violence in the Experiences of Afro-Brazilian Migrants in Portugal. *Societies* 12: 78. [CrossRef]
- Barroso, Ana Maria. 2018. Sexualidade Num Portugal Multicultural—Estudo Transcultural Entre Portugueses e Imigrantes. Master's thesis, Universidade Lusófona de Humanidades e Tecnologias, Lisbon, Portugal.
- Bekele, Wasihun, and Fikire Ago. 2022. Sample Size for Interview in Qualitative Research in Social Sciences: A Guide to Novice Researchers. *Research in Educational Policy and Management* 4: 42–50. [CrossRef]
- Bernardes, João, and Ana Reynolds. 2022. Science and Beyond Science in the Reporting of Quality of Facility-Based Maternal and Newborn Care During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *The Lancet Regional Health—Europe* 20: 100487. [CrossRef]
- Bezerra, Elys Oliveira, Ismael Brioso Bastos, Ana Karoline Barros Bezerra, Priscila de Vasconcelos Monteiro, and Maria Lúcia Duarte Pereira. 2020. Aspectos da Violência Obstétrica Institucionalizada. *Enfermagem Foco* 11: 157–64. [CrossRef]
- Bilge, Sirma, and Patricia Hill Collins. 2021. *Interseccionalidade*, 1st ed. São Paulo: Boitempo.
- Bill no. 364/XVI/1st. 2024. Assembly of the Republic. Available online: <https://www.parlamento.pt/ActividadeParlamentar/Paginas/DetalleIniciativa.aspx?BID=304367> (accessed on 17 January 2025).
- Bitencourt, Cezar Roberto. 2022. *Tratado de Direito Penal: Parte Especial*, 16th ed. Revised and Expanded. São Paulo: Saraiva, vol. 4.
- Borges, Maria Teresa R. 2018. A Violent Birth: Reframing Coerced Procedures During Childbirth as Obstetric Violence. *Duke Law Journal* 67: 827–62.
- Bowser, Dana, and Kathryn Hill. 2010. *Exploring Evidence for Disrespect and Abuse in Facility-Based Childbirth: Report of a Landscape Analysis*. Harvard: Harvard School of Public Health, University Research Co.
- Braun, Virginia, and Victoria Clarke. 2006. Using Thematic Analysis in Psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology* 3: 77–101. [CrossRef]
- Braun, Virginia, and Victoria Clarke. 2013. *Successful Qualitative Research: A Practical Guide for Beginners*. Newcastle upon Tyne: Sage.
- Braun, Virginia, and Victoria Clarke. 2020. One Size Fits All? What Counts as Quality Practice in (Reflexive) Thematic Analysis? *Qualitative Research in Psychology* 18: 328–52. [CrossRef]
- Brigidi, Serena, Ana Polo, Desirée Mena-Tudela, and Cristina Medina-Pradas. 2023. Violencia ginecológica-obstétrica. *Psicosomática y Psiquiatría* 27: 34–38. [CrossRef]
- College of Gynaecology and Obstetrics of the Portuguese Medical Association. 2021. *Parecer do Colégio da Especialidade de Ginecologia e Obstetrícia da Ordem dos Médicos sobre o Projeto de Lei n.º 912.XIV PAN 2021*. Ordem dos Médicos. Available online: <http://ordemdosmedicos.pt/wp-content/uploads/2017/09/Parecer-Projeto-Lei-912XIV-2.pdf> (accessed on 20 August 2024).
- Costa, Karla Alexandra Oliveira, Luciana Edna Fernandes Brito, Cláudia Vanessa Soares Coimbra, Naiara Cristina Cunha Lopes, Débora Olívia Silva Depuydt, and Raíssa Nascimento Correia. 2022. Racismo Obstétrico em Portugal: Relato de Experiência de um Coletivo Antirracista. *Fórum Sociológico* 41: 7–14. [CrossRef]
- Council of Europe. 2014. *The Council of Europe Convention on Preventing and Combating Violence Against Women and Domestic Violence (Istanbul Convention)*. Available online: <https://www.refworld.org/reference/regionalreport/coe/2014/en/102469> (accessed on 20 August 2024).
- Crenshaw, Kimberlé. 2002. Documento para o encontro de especialistas em aspectos da discriminação racial relativos ao gênero. *Revista Estudos Feministas* 10: 171–88. [CrossRef]
- Crenshaw, Kimberlé. 2017. *On Intersectionality: Essential Writings*. New York: The New Press.
- da Costa, Laís Bianchin da, and Cibele Cheron. 2017. Violência Obstétrica, Direitos Sexuais e Reprodutivos, Subjetividade Feminina, Direitos Humanos das Mulheres. Paper presented at Seminário Internacional Fazendo Gênero 11 & 13th Women's Worlds Congress (Anais Eletrônicos), Florianópolis, Brazil, July 30–August 4.
- Davis, Dána-Ain. 2019. Obstetric Racism: The Racial Politics of Pregnancy, Labor, and Birthing. *Medical Anthropology* 38: 560–73. [CrossRef]
- de Assis, Jussara Francisca. 2018. Interseccionalidade, Racismo Institucional e Direitos Humanos: Compreensões à Violência Obstétrica. *Serviço Social & Sociedade* 133: 547–65. [CrossRef]
- Decree-Law no. 52/2022, of 4 August. Diário da República, 1st series, no. 150. Available online: <https://files.dre.pt/1s/2022/08/1500/0000500052.pdf> (accessed on 17 January 2025).
- de Oliveira Sanfelice, Clara Frões, Fernanda de Souza Freitas Abbud, Olívia Separavich Pregnotatto, Michelle Gonçalves da Silva, and Antonieta Keiko Kakuda Shimo. 2014. Do Parto Institucionalizado ao Parto Domiciliar. *Revista da Rede de Enfermagem do Nordeste* 15: 362–70.
- Dias, Sandra F., Cristina F. Rocha, and Regina Horta. 2009. *Saúde Sexual e Reprodutiva de Mulheres Imigrantes Africanas e Brasileiras: Um Estudo Qualitativo*. Lisbon: ACIDI.
- Directorate-General for Health (DGH). 2016. *Violência Interpessoal: Abordagem, Diagnóstico e Intervenção nos Serviços de Saúde*, 2nd ed. Lisbon: Direção-Geral da Saúde. Available online: https://www.dgs.pt/accao-de-saude-para-criancas-e-jovens-em-risco/ficheiros-externos/violencia_interpessoal-pdf.aspx (accessed on 25 August 2024).

- dos Santos Simões, Vânia Alexandra. 2016. *A Violência Obstétrica: A Violência Institucionalizada Contra o Género (Prémio Teresa Rosmaninho—Direitos Humanos, Direitos das Mulheres)*. Lisboa: Associação Portuguesa de Mulheres Juristas. Available online: <https://www.apmj.pt/files/121/Estudos-Premiados/114/Premio-2016---A-Violencia-Obstetrica--a-violencia-institucionalizada-contra-o-genero.pdf> (accessed on 10 October 2024).
- Duarte, Mariana C., Renata M. G. S. da Fonseca, Vanessa de Souza, and Elisângela D. Pena. 2015. Gender and Violence Against Women in Nursing Literature: A Review. *Revista Brasileira de Enfermagem* 68: 325–32. [CrossRef]
- Estevanato, Bárbara Fernanda, and Deise da Silva Martins. 2022. The Use of Intersectionality in the Analysis of Women’s Trajectories and Experiences. V Latin American and Caribbean Congress of Social Sciences: Democracy, Justice, and Equality, FLACSO Uruguay. Available online: <https://congreso.flacso.edu.uy/wp-content/uploads/2023/05/EJE10949921.pdf> (accessed on 20 January 2025).
- European Commission, Directorate-General for Justice and Consumers. 2024. *Case Studies on Obstetric Violence: Experience, Analysis, and Responses*. Publications Office. Available online: https://www.saage-network.eu/sites/default/files/media/publication/obstetric-violence_CASE-STUDIES_DEF_0.pdf (accessed on 3 September 2024).
- European Parliament. 2024. *Obstetric and Gynaecological Violence in the EU—Prevalence, Legal Frameworks and Educational Guidelines for Prevention and Elimination*. Available online: [https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/STUD/2024/761478/IPOL_STU\(2024\)761478_EN.pdf](https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/STUD/2024/761478/IPOL_STU(2024)761478_EN.pdf) (accessed on 8 September 2024).
- Euro-Peristat. 2013. Project with SCPE and EUROCAT. *European Perinatal Health Report 2010: Health and Care of Pregnant Women and Babies in Europe in 2010*. Available online: https://www.tno.nl/media/1975/european_perinatal_health_report_2010.pdf (accessed on 18 August 2024).
- Fedele, Ana, and Jennifer White. 2018. Birthing matters in Portugal: Introduction. *Etnográfica* 22: 607–18. [CrossRef]
- Ferrão, Ana C., Maria Sim-Sim, Vânia S. Almeida, and Maria O. Zangão. 2022. Analysis of the Concept of Obstetric Violence: Scoping Review Protocol. *Journal of Personalized Medicine* 12: 1090. [CrossRef]
- França, Thais, and Stefanie Prange de Oliveira. 2021. Brazilian Migrant Women as Killjoys: Disclosing Racism in ‘Friendly’ Portugal. *Cadernos Pagu* 63: e216301. [CrossRef]
- GANHRI. 2018. *A Manual on National Human Rights Institutions*. Available online: https://ganhri.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Manual_on_NHRIs_Oct_2018.pdf (accessed on 20 January 2025).
- Geller, Sarah E., Alyssa R. Koch, Nita J. Martin, Donna Rosenberg, Heidi R. Bigger, and Illinois Department of Public Health Maternal Mortality Review Committee Working Group. 2014. Assessing preventability of maternal mortality in Illinois: 2002–2012. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 211: 698.e1–698.e11. [CrossRef]
- Inspeção-Geral das Atividades em Saúde. 2024. *Assistência a Pessoas Estrangeiras Não Residentes em Portugal nos Serviços de Urgência de Natureza Hospitalar do Serviço Nacional de Saúde 2024: Recolha de Dados e Informação*. Lisboa: Inspeção-Geral das Atividades em Saúde.
- International Planned Parenthood Federation. 2008. *Sexualidade: Planeamento Familiar no. 48/49*. Available online: https://apf.pt/wp-content/uploads/2023/08/revista_48.pdf (accessed on 2 September 2024).
- International Planned Parenthood Federation. 2024. *Carta dos Direitos Sexuais e Reprodutivos*. Available online: <https://www.ippf.org/resource/sexual-rights-ippf-declaration> (accessed on 25 August 2024).
- Law no. 15/2014, of 21 March. *Diário da República*, Série I-N.º 57. Available online: https://www.pgdlisboa.pt/leis/lei_mostra_articulado.php?nid=2292&tabela=leis&so_miolo= (accessed on 20 September 2024).
- Law no. 95/2019, of 4 September. *Electronic Official Gazette*. Available online: <https://diariodarepublica.pt/dr/detalhe/lei/95-2019-124417108> (accessed on 17 January 2025).
- Law no. 110/2019, of 9 September. *Diário da República*, 1.a série-N.º 172. Available online: <https://files.dre.pt/1s/2019/09/17200/0009400101.pdf> (accessed on 20 September 2024).
- Law no. 181/2021, of 28 June. *Official Gazette*, Series I-N.º 123. Available online: <https://diariodarepublica.pt/dr/detalhe/resolucao-assembly-republica/181-2021-165865615> (accessed on 20 September 2024).
- Lazzerini, Marianna, Barbara Covi, Isabella Mariani, Zdravka Drglin, Mette Arendt, Ingrid H. Nedberg, Håkan Elden, Raquel Costa, Dragana Drandić, Jelena Radetić, and et al. 2022. Quality of facility-based maternal and newborn care around the time of childbirth during the COVID-19 pandemic: Online survey investigating maternal perspectives in 12 countries of the WHO European Region. *The Lancet Regional Health Europe* 13: 100268. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lorthe, Elsa, Milton Severo, Sousan Hamwi, Teresa Rodrigues, Cristina Teixeira, and Henrique Barros. 2024. Obstetric Interventions Among Native and Migrant Women: The (Over)use of Episiotomy in Portugal. *International Journal of Public Health* 69: 1606296. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Magalhães, Roberta Cordeiro de Melo. 2020. *Violência Obstétrica no Contexto da Violência Feminina*. Ph.D. dissertation, Instituto CEUB de Pesquisa e Desenvolvimento, Centro Universitário de Brasília, Brasília, Brazil.
- Morais, Maria S., Beatriz Padilla, Claudia M. Rosseto, and Maria A. S. Almeida. 2021. Migração: Saúde Reprodutiva e Estereótipo. *Cadernos de Saúde Coletiva* 29: 86–91. [CrossRef]

- Mehra, Reva, Lindsey M. Boyd, Usha Magriples, Trace S. Kershaw, Jeannette R. Ickovics, and Danya E. Keene. 2020. Black Pregnant Women 'Get the Most Judgment': A Qualitative Study of the Experiences of Black Women at the Intersection of Race, Gender, and Pregnancy. *Women's Health Issues* 30: 484–92. [CrossRef]
- Mosley, Trenell J., Rachel A. Zajdel, Ethel Alderete, Janine A. Clayton, Shirin Heidari, Eliseo J. Pérez-Stable, Karen Salt, and Marie A. Bernard. 2025. Intersectionality and Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion in the Healthcare and Scientific Workforces. *The Lancet Regional Health—Americas* 41: 100973. [CrossRef]
- Neves, Dulce Morgado. 2024. "To Change the World, We Must First Change the Way the Babies Are Being Born": Childbirth Activism in Europe. *Social Sciences* 13: 490. [CrossRef]
- O'Brien, Elizabeth, and Miriam Rich. 2022. Obstetric Violence in Historical Perspective. *The Lancet* 399: 2183–85. [CrossRef]
- Oliveira, Catarina Reis. 2023. *Indicadores de Integração de Imigrantes: Relatório Estatístico Anual 2023*. Lisboa: Observatório das Migrações. Available online: <https://migrant-integration.ec.europa.eu/system/files/2023-12/Relatorio%20Estatistico%20Anual%20-%20Indicadores%20de%20Integracao%20de%20Imigrantes%202023.pdf> (accessed on 20 September 2024).
- Order no. 7495/2006 (2nd Series), of 4th April. Electronic Official Gazette. Available online: <https://diariodarepublica.pt/dr/detalhe/despacho/7495-2006-958060> (accessed on 17 January 2025).
- Padilla, Beatriz, Silvia H. Plaza, and Ana Ortiz. 2012. Avaliando as Boas Práticas em Saúde e Migração em Portugal: Teoria, Prática e Política. *Fórum Sociológico* 22: 33–41. [CrossRef]
- Portuguese Society of Contraception, Portuguese Society of Gynecology and Portuguese Society of Reproductive Medicine. 2020. *Consenso Sobre Contraceção*. Available online: https://www.spdc.pt/images/SPDC_Consensos_2020_novo_21julho2021_digital_book_website.pdf (accessed on 2 October 2024).
- Puri, Lakshmi. 2019. *Um Objetivo Mundial em Matéria de Igualdade de Gênero, Direitos e Empoderamento das Mulheres*. Available online: <http://nacoesunidas.org/um-objetivo-mundial-emmatéria-de-igualdade-de-genero-direitos-e-empoderamento-das-mulheres/> (accessed on 31 August 2024).
- Público. 2024. Aima Quebra Lei e Bloqueia Acesso ao Sistema de Agendamentos de Advogados. Modified on 31 October 2024. Available online: <https://www.publico.pt/2024/10/31/publico-brasil/noticia/aima-quebra-lei-bloqueia-acesso-sistema-agendamentos-advogados-2110049> (accessed on 20 January 2025).
- Quagliariello, Chiara, Veronica Miranda, and Mounia El Kotni. 2024. Edited by Pamela L. Geller. Racial Disparities and Racism in Reproductive Experiences. In *The Routledge Handbook of Feminist Anthropology*, 1st ed. London: Routledge, p. 568. [CrossRef]
- Rodrigues, Diana Daik Mendes. 2024. A Violência Obstétrica à Luz dos Direitos Humanos: Uma Revisão de Literatura. *Revista Ibero-Americana De Humanidades, Ciências E Educação* 10: 2832–45. [CrossRef]
- Rusu, Mariana Holanda, Conceição Nogueira, and Joana Topa. 2024. Pregnancy, Childbirth, and Postpartum Experiences of Racialised Brazilian Women in Portugal: An Analysis of Obstetric Violence as Gender-Based Violence. *Sexes* 5: 611–37. [CrossRef]
- Sadler, Michelle, Maria José Duarte dos Santos, Diego Ruiz-Berdún, Gloria Luz Rojas, Esther Skoko, Patricia Gillen, and Jens A. Clausen. 2016. Moving Beyond Disrespect and Abuse: Addressing the Structural Dimensions of Obstetric Violence. *Reproductive Health Matters* 24: 47–55. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Santos, Rangel G., José G. Moreira, Ana Lúcia G. Fonseca, Antônio S. Gomes Filho, and Marília M. Ifadireó. 2019. Ifadireó. Violência Contra a Mulher à Partir das Teorias de Gênero. *Id on Line Revista Multidisciplinar e de Psicologia* 13: 97–117. [CrossRef]
- Santos, Vanessa, Maria Moura, João P. Pinto, Vanessa Almeida, and João Maio. 2011. Socio-demographic features of puerperas and pregnancy follow-up: What has changed in 17 years? *Acta Medica Portuguesa* 24: 877–84. [CrossRef]
- Simões, Vânia Alexandra. 2023. *Violência Obstétrica, Direitos das Mulheres e Tutela Jurídica*. Ph.D. thesis, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal.
- Telo, Shana Vieira, and Regina Rigatto Witt. 2018. Saúde Sexual e Reprodutiva: Competências da Equipe na Atenção Primária à Saúde. *Ciência & Saúde Coletiva* 23: 2096–106. [CrossRef]
- Theophilo, Rebecca Lucena, Daphne Rattner, and Éverton Luís Pereira. 2018. Vulnerabilidade de Mulheres Negras na Atenção ao Pré-natal e ao Parto no SUS: Análise da Pesquisa da Ouvidoria Ativa. *Ciência & Saúde Coletiva* 23: 3505–16. [CrossRef]
- Topa, Bessa Joana, Sofia Neves, and Conceição Nogueira. 2013. Imigração e Saúde: (In)Acessibilidade das Mulheres Imigrantes aos Cuidados de Saúde. *Saúde e Sociedade* 22: 328–41. [CrossRef]
- Topa, Joana Bessa. 2016. *Cuidados de Saúde Materno-Infantis a Imigrantes na Região do Grande Porto: Percursos, Discursos e Práticas*. ACM, I.P. Available online: <https://repositorium.sdum.uminho.pt/bitstream/1822/26676/1/Joana%20Bessa%20Topa.pdf> (accessed on 23 September 2024).
- Torlig, Eliane G. d. S., Paulo C. Resende, r, Ricardo K. Fujihara, Livia Montezano, and Gerson Demo. 2022. Proposta de Validação para Instrumentos de Pesquisa Qualitativa (Vali-Quali). *Administração Ensino e Pesquisa* 23: 5–31. [CrossRef]
- United Nations General Assembly. 1948. *Universal Declaration of Human Rights*. Proclaimed by General Assembly Resolution 217 A (III), A/RES/3/217 A, December 10, 1948. Paris. Available online: <https://www.un.org/en/about-us/universal-declaration-of-human-rights> (accessed on 2 October 2024).

- United Nations Population Fund. 2014. *Programme of Action Adopted at the International Conference on Population and Development, Cairo, 5–13 September 1994*. New York: United Nations Population Fund. ISBN 978-0-89714-022-5.
- Valdez, Nicole, and Deborah Deomampo. 2019. Centering Race and Racism in Reproduction. *Medical Anthropology* 38: 551–59. [CrossRef]
- Venezuela. 2007. Organic Law on the Right of Women to a Life Free from Violence. Available online: <https://www.acnur.org/fileadmin/Documentos/BDL/2008/6604.pdf> (accessed on 15 September 2024).
- World Health Organization (WHO). 2014. *Prevention and Elimination of Disrespect and Abuse During Childbirth in Health Facilities*. Available online: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/134588/WHO_RHR_14.23_por.pdf (accessed on 5 September 2024).
- World Health Organization (WHO). 2015. *Sexual Health, Human Rights, and Law*. Geneva: WHO. ISBN 978-92-4-156498-4.
- World Health Organization (WHO). 2019. *Trends in Maternal Mortality 2000 to 2017*. Estimates by WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA, World Bank Group, and the United Nations Population Division. Geneva: WHO. Available online: <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/327596> (accessed on 15 September 2024).
- Yin, Robert K. 2018. *Case Study Research and Applications: Design and Methods*, 6th ed. Thousand Oaks: Sage.

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.